Equality Impact Assessment (EIA) Initial Screening Form



Appendix F

Screening determines whether the policy has any relevance for equality, ie is there any impact on one or more of the 9 protected characteristics as defined by the Equality Act 2010. These are:

- Age
- Disability
- Gender reassignment
- Marriage and civil partnership*
- Pregnancy and maternity
- Race
- Religion or belief (including lack of belief)
- Sex
- Sexual orientation

1. Policy/service/function title	Strategic Planning Policy – Infrastructure – Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) - CIL Expenditure Review – March 2019 One separate report and 4 separate Appendices for Babergh and four separate Appendices for Mid Suffolk.
2. Lead officer (responsible for the policy/service/function)	Christine Thurlow – Professional Lead – Key Sites and Infrastructure
3. Is this a new or existing policy/service/function?	New - in terms of Review Existing: Existing (see 5 below)
4. What exactly is proposed? (Describe the policy/service/ function and the changes that are being planned?)	The Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) - CIL Expenditure Framework— Aptil 2018 was presented to both Councils Cabinets in March 2018 and at Council for both Councils in April 2018. The report recommended approval of the CIL Expenditure Framework and the timeline for the launch and review of the Framework, However, it was also agreed that there would be a review of these documents whilst Bid round two was being undertaken so that any amendments to the Framework could be considered and put in place before Bid round three commences in May 2019.

5. Why? (Give reasons why these changes are being introduced)

Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) monies have been collected since the implementation of CIL in April 2016. There is no prescribed way for Councils to decide upon the spend of money collected through CIL, so the Council has to agree their own approach.

The adopted CIL Expenditure Framework, CIL Expenditure Communications Strategy and Timeline for its implementation and review were all agreed at Councils of both District Councils in April 2018

It was agreed at the same time that a review of the arrangements would be carried out at the same time as Bid Round two was in operation so that any changes to the scheme would be in place before Bid round three.

This report presents some amendments to the scheme designed by the Joint Member Panel who have also called for a further review whilst Bid round four is in operation.

It is important that the scheme is kept under review to ensure that expenditure of the CIL is prioritised correctly particularly with the emergent Infrastructure Delivery Plan which will sit alongside the emergent Joint Local Plan which will allocate sites for development up to 2036.

In this way the development that is carried out is sustainable as the harm from the development is mitigated by the infrastructure provision,

All the Bids submitted for CIL funding are different and relate to different Parishes, different types of infrastructure and as both Councils are sovereign Councils and monies are collected recorded and spent separately.

There are two Bid Rounds each year and once each Bid has been validated screened for other forms of funding and then prioritised according to the agreed criteria, each Bid dependant on whether the spend is above or below £10,000 the decision will either be made by Cabinet (above £10,000) or under delegated decision (under £10,000) where the decisions will be presented to Cabinet for the Cabinet to note and endorse.

Two Business Plans are produced twice yearly for both Councils Cabinets to consider so that delivery of infrastructure can be responsive to demand, and focus can be maintained on outcomes related to delivery of infrastructure supporting growth.

6. How will it be implemented? (Describe the decision-making process, timescales, process for implementation)	The processes and procedure including governance arrangements for CIL expenditure are set out in the CIL Expenditure Framework and the CIL Expenditure Communications Strategy with timescales set out in the associated Timeline document. The amendments proposed under cover of this report all address all three documents. The processes are described in 5 above
7. Is there potential for differential impact (negative or positive) on any of the protected characteristics?	Yes No Infrastructure provision is necessary to mitigate the harm from the impact of growth so that the development that is carried out is sustainable. Communities in general benefit from infrastructure provision and delivery and its provision generally causes positive impacts for that community that all can benefit from. It does not impact on a specific equality strand unless it has been particularly designed to do so Identify how the impact would affect the specific equality strand.
8. Is there the possibility of discriminating unlawfully, directly or indirectly, against people from any protected characteristic?	Yes No No
9. Could there be an effect on relations between certain groups?	Yes No No
10. Does the policy explicitly involve, or focus on a particular equalities group , i.e. because they have particular needs?	Yes No No
If the answers are 'no' to questions 7-10 then there is no need to proceed to a full impact assessment and this form should then be signed off as appropriate. If 'yes' then a full impact assessment must be completed.	

Any queries concerning the completion of this form should be addressed to the Equality and Diversity Lead.

Authors signature Christine Thurlow

Date of completion 4th February 2019

^{*} Public sector duty does not apply to marriage and civil partnership.